

## **VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL**

SEP 1 7 2009

Michael J. Williams
Fincher Denmark & Williams LLC
2262 Mount Zion Road
Jonesboro, GA 30236

RE: MUR 6182

**David Scott for Congress** 

Dear Mr. Williams:

On April 2, 2009 the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, David Scott for Congress ("Committee") and Henry Aaron, as Treasurer of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. On September 8, 2009, the Commission found, on the basis of the information in the complaint, and information provided by you, on behalf of your client, that there is no reason to believe the Committee violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. Accordingly, the Commission closed its file in this matter.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003). A copy of the dispositive General Counsel's Report is enclosed for your information.

If you have any questions, please contact Frankic D. Hampton, the paralegal assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Thomasenia P. Dungán

General Counse

BY:

Jeff S. Jordan / Supervisory Attorney

Complaints Examination and

Legal Administration

Enclosure
General Counsel's Report

1 2					
3	In the Matter of	)			
4 5	MUR 6182	) }			
6	ANDRE WALKER	) CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE			
7	DAVID SCOTT FOR CONGRESS	) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM			
8 9	AND HENRY AARON, AS TREASURER	)			
0 I	GENERAL COUR	SEL'S REPORT			
Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated					
3		are			
4	forwarded to the Commission with a recommend	ation for dismissal, or in certain cases where the			
5	complaint is speculative in nature, a no reason to	believe finding.			
6	The complainant, David Knox, states that	a blogger, Andre Walker, supported the re-			
7	election of Congressman David Scott, who represents Georgia's Thirteenth Congressional				
8	District, by posting certain information favorable to the candidate to his blog called Georgia				
9	Politics Unfiltered (http://georgiaunfiltered.blogspot.com). Specifically, the complainant notes				
20	that the financial disclosure reports filed by Davi	d Scott for Congress and Henry Aaron, in his			
21	official capacity as treasurer ("the Committee"),	reveal that the Committee paid Mr. Walker a			
22	total of \$2,950 for "Internet Consulting" between	October 2007 and July 2008, and, therefore,			
23	Mr. Walker's blog should have disclosed the pay	ments by placing a disclaimer on the blog,			
24	pursuant to the Federal Election Campaign Act of	f 1971, as amended ("the Act").			
25	In response, Mr. Walker stated that his b	og, which he asserts began in 2005, covered			
26	federal, state, and local issues, including interviews with Mr. Scott's general election opponent,				
27	Deborah Honeycutt, as well as Congressman Scott's re-election campaign. While Mr. Walker				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Committee's reports disclose that Mr. Walker was paid \$700 in October 2007 and \$250 per month thereafter from November 2007 through Ivily 2008.

90

5

442

٥

- 2 performed, independent of his blog, to modernize the Committee's website at
- 3 <u>www.davidscottforcongress.com</u>. Finally, Mr. Walker denied that the Committee had provided
- 4 funding for the blog.
- 5 The Committee, in its response, acknowledged that it had contracted with Mr. Walker for
- 6 internet consulting services primarily related to developing, constructing and maintaining its
- 7 campaign website, and included a copy of an invoice from Mr. Walker for \$250, for "monthly
- 8 web maintenance." The Committee also denied that the disbursements described in the
- 9 complaint were related to Mr. Walker's blog, or that the blog focused primarily on Congressman
- 10 Scott, but instead stated that it covered a wide variety of national, state and local activities.
- 11 Finally, the Committee denied that Mr. Walker has ever been its official publicist, spokesperson
- 12 or representative, and included a sworn affidavit from Congressman Scott reiterating that his
- 13 committee had paid Mr. Walker solely for his work on the campaign website.
- Based on the speculative nature of the complaint, the responses, including Congressman
- 15 Scott's sworn affidavit, and the fact that the Committee's disbursements to Mr. Walker appear to
- 16 be reasonable reimbursements for services rendered, we recommend that the Commission find
- 17 that there is no reason to believe that Mr. Walker or the Committee violated the Act.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Find no reason to believe that Andre Walker violated the Federal Election Campaign Act
  of 1971, as amended.
- Find no reason to believe that David Scott for Congress and Henry Aaron, in his official capacity as treasurer, violated the Pederal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended.
- 3. Close the file and send the appropriate letters.

18

19 20

21 22

23 24 25

## Case Closure under EPS -- MUR 6182 Page 3 of 3

1 2 3			Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0/21/09 Date	BY:	Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination
10 11 12 13 14 15 16			& Legal Administration  Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaint Prominetics
17 18 19 20 21 22 23			Complaints Examination & Legal Administration  Ruth I. Holliger
24 25 26 27 28 29			Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration
30 31 32 33			